DISTRICT COURT OF THE VIRGIN ISLANDS DIVISION OF ST. THOMAS AND ST. JOHN

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v .)	Criminal No. 2015-32
MYTEAIRRA MIXON,)	
Defendant.)	
berendanc.)	

ATTORNEYS:

Ronald Sharpe, United States Attorney Delia L. Smith, AUSA

United States Attorney's Office
St. Thomas, VI
For the United States of America,

David J. Cattie

Ogletree, Deakins, Nash, Smoak & Stewart LLC St. Thomas, VI

For defendant Myteairra Mixon.

ORDER

GÓMEZ, J.

Before the Court is the application of Myteairra Mixon ("Mixon") to waive her speedy trial. For the reasons stated herein, the time to try this case is extended up to and including January 31, 2017.

While the Speedy Trial Act requires that a defendant be tried within seventy days of indictment, the Court specifically finds that extending this period would be in the best interest of justice for several reasons. First, an extension is

United States v. Mixon Criminal No. 2015-32 Order Page 2

necessary to allow Mixon an opportunity to adequately prepare for trial. Second, the defendant made this request with the advice and consent of counsel. Third, without an extension, Mixon would be denied reasonable time necessary to discuss potential resolutions with the United States.

Consistent with these concerns, the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit has recognized that "whether or not a case is 'unusual' or 'complex,' an ends of justice continuance may in appropriate circumstances be granted." United States v. Fields, 39 F.3d 439, 444 (3d Cir. 1994) (citing United States v. Dota, 33 F.3d 1179(9th Cir. 1994) ("An ends of justice continuance may be justified on grounds that one side needs more time to prepare for trial . . . even though a case is not complex")); see also United States v. Brooks, 697 F.2d 517, 522 (3d Cir. 1982), cert. denied, 460 U.S. 1071(1983) (no abuse of discretion where district court found that multiple count, multiple defendant "case was complex and required additional time for adequate preparation."); United States v. Lattany, 982 F.2d 866, 883 (3d Cir. 1992) ("district court did not abuse its discretion [by delaying trial] to give counsel . . . opportunity to . . . decid[e] upon and prepar[e] an appropriate defense.").

The premises considered; it is hereby

Case: 3:15-cr-00032-CVG-RM Document #: 457 Filed: 11/30/16 Page 3 of 3

United States v. Mixon Criminal No. 2015-32 Order Page 3

ORDERED that the time beginning from the date of this order granting an extension through January 31, 2017, shall be excluded in computing the time within which a trial must be initiated pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161.

S_____Curtis V. Gómez District Judge